

A Characterization of Modules with Cyclic Socle

Ali Assem

Department of Mathematics

aassem@sci.cu.edu.eg

May 5, 2015

Abstract

In 2009, J. Wood [15] proved that Frobenius bimodules have the extension property for symmetrized weight compositions. Later, in [9], it was proved that having a cyclic socle is sufficient for satisfying the property, while the necessity remained an open question.

Here, landing in Midway, the necessity is proved, a module alphabet ${}_RA$ has the extension property for symmetrized weight compositions built on $\text{Aut}_R(A)$ is necessarily having a cyclic socle.

Note: All rings are finite with unity, and all modules are finite too. This may be re-emphasized in some statements. The convention for functions is that inputs are to the left.

1 Introduction

A (left) linear code of length n over a module alphabet ${}_RA$ is a (left) submodule $C \subset A^n$. A has the *extension property* (EP) for the weight w if for any n and any two codes $C_1, C_2 \subset A^n$, any isomorphism $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ preserving w extends to a monomial transformation of A^n . In 1962, MacWilliams [6] proved the Hamming weight EP for linear codes over finite fields; in 1996, H. Ward and J. Wood [11] re-proved this using the linear independence of group characters. This kind of proofs – using characters – led to further generalities. In 1997, J. Wood [12] proved that Frobenius rings have the EP for *symmetrized weight compositions* (swc), and in his 1999-paper [13], proved that Frobenius rings have the property for Hamming weight. Besides, for the last case, a partial converse was proved: commutative rings satisfying the EP for Hamming weight are necessarily Frobenius.

In 2004, Greferath et al.[7] showed that Frobenius bimodules do have the EP for Hamming weight. In [2], Dinh and López-Permouth suggested a strategy for proving the full converse. The strategy has three parts. (1) If a finite ring is not Frobenius, its socle contains a matrix module of a particular type. (2) Provide a counter-example to the EP in the context of linear codes over this special module. (3) Show that this counter example over the matrix module pulls back to give a counter example over the original ring. Finally, in 2008, J. Wood [14] provided the main technical result for carrying out the strategy, and thereby proving that rings having the EP for Hamming weight are necessarily Frobenius. The proof was easily adapted in [15] (2009) to prove that a module alphabet ${}_RA$ has the EP for Hamming weight if and only if A is pseudo-injective with cyclic socle.

On the other lane, in [15], J. Wood proved that Frobenius bimodules have the EP for swc, and in [9] it was shown that having a cyclic socle is sufficient (Theorem 3.4), while the necessity remained an open question. Here, the necessity is proved, making use of a new notion, namely, the *annihilator weight*, defined in section 4 below.

2 Background in Ring Theory

Let R be a finite ring with unity, denote by $\text{rad}R$ its Jacobson radical, by the Wedderburn-Artin theorem (and Wedderburn's little theorem) the ring $R/\text{rad}R$ is semi-simple, and (as rings)

$$R/\text{rad}R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i}), \quad (2.1)$$

where each q_i is a prime power, $\mathbb{F}_{q_i}$ denotes a finite field of order q_i , and $\mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ denotes the ring of $\mu_i \times \mu_i$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{q_i}$.

It follows that, as left R -modules,

$${}_R(R/\text{rad}R) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mu_i T_i, \quad (2.2)$$

where ${}_R T_i$ is the pullback to R of the matrix module $\mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i}) \mathbb{M}_{\mu_i \times 1}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ via the isomorphism in equation (2.1). It is known that these T_i 's form the complete list, up to isomorphism, of all simple left R -modules, hence the socle of any R -module A can be expressed as

$$\text{soc}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k s_i T_i,$$

where s_i is the number of copies of T_i inside A .

The next two propositions can be found in [15], page 17.

Proposition 2.1. *$\text{soc}(A)$ is cyclic if and only if $s_i \leq \mu_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$; μ_i defined as above.*

Proposition 2.2. *$\text{soc}(A)$ is cyclic if and only if A can be embedded into ${}_R \widehat{R}$, the character group of R equipped with the standard module structure.*

The next theorem (Theorem 4.1, [14]), by J. Wood, was the key to carry out the strategy of Dinh and López-Permouth mentioned in the introduction, actually, it displays a thoughtfully constructed piece-of-art example for the failure of the Hamming weight EP.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $R = \mathbb{M}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $A = \mathbb{M}_{m \times k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. If $k > m$, there exist linear codes $C_+, C_- \subset A^N$, $N = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + q^i)$, and an R -linear isomorphism $f : C_+ \rightarrow C_-$ that preserves Hamming weight, yet there is no monomial transformation extending f .*

If $\text{soc}(A)$ is not cyclic, then the previous theorem, applied to a certain submodule of $\text{soc}(A)$, gives counter-examples that pull back to give counter-examples for the original module, as the proof of the following theorem shows (a detailed proof is found in [15], Theorem 6.4).

Theorem 2.4. (Th. 5.2, [14]). *Let R be a finite ring, and let A be a finite left R -module. If there exists an index i and a multiplicity $k > \mu_i$ so that $kT_i \subset \text{soc}(A) \subset A$, then the extension property for Hamming weight fails for linear codes over the module A .*

3 Symmetrized Weight Compositions

Definition 3.1. (Symmetrized Weight Compositions) Let G be a subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_R(A)$ of a finite R -module A . Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on A : $a \sim b$ if $a = b\tau$ for some $\tau \in G$. Let A/G denote the orbit space of this relation. The *symmetrized weight composition* (swc) built on G is a function

$\text{swc} : A^n \times A/G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ defined by,

$$\text{swc}(x, a) = |\{i : x_i \sim a\}|,$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$ and $a \in A/G$. Thus, swc counts the number of components in each orbit.

Definition 3.2. (Monomial Transformation) Let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R(A)$, a map T is called a *G-monomial transformation* of A^n if there are some $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\tau_i \in G$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)T = (x_{\sigma(1)}\tau_1, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}\tau_n),$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n$.

Definition 3.3. (Extension Property) The alphabet A has the *extension property* (EP) with respect to swc if for every n , and any two linear codes $C_1, C_2 \subset A^n$, any R -linear isomorphism $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ preserving swc extends to a G -monomial transformation of A^n .

In [12], J.A.Wood proved that Frobenius rings do have the extension property with respect to swc. Later, in [9], it was shown that, more generally, a left R -module A has the extension property with respect to swc if it can be embedded in the character group $\hat{R}$ (given the standard module structure).

Theorem 3.4. (Th.4.1.3, [8]) Let A be a finite left R -module. If A can be embedded into $\hat{R}$ (or equivalently, $\text{soc}(A)$ is cyclic), then A has the extension property with respect to the swc built on any subgroup G of $\text{Aut}_R(A)$. In particular, this theorem applies to Frobenius bimodules.

4 Annihilator Weight

We now define a *new* notion (the Midway!) on which we'll depend in the rest of this paper.

Definition 4.1. (Annihilator Weight) On ${}_R A$, define an equivalence relation $\approx$ by $a \approx b$ if $\text{Ann}_a = \text{Ann}_b$, where a and b are any two elements in A and $\text{Ann}_a = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}$ is the annihilator of a . Clearly, Ann_a is a left ideal.

Now, on A^n we can define the annihilator weight aw that counts the number of components in each orbit.

Remark: It is easily seen that the EP for Hamming weight implies the EP for swc, and the EP for aw as well.

Lemma 4.2. Let ${}_R A$ be a pseudo-injective module. Then for any two elements a and b in A , $a \approx b$ if and only if $a \sim b$ ($\sim$ corresponds to the action of the whole group $\text{Aut}_R(A)$).

Proof. If $a \sim b$, this means $a = b\tau$ for some $\tau \in \text{Aut}_R(A)$, and consequently $\text{Ann}_a = \text{Ann}_b$.
Conversely, if $a \approx b$, then we have (as left R -modules)

$$Ra \cong {}_R R / \text{Ann}_a = {}_R R / \text{Ann}_b \cong Rb,$$

with $ra \mapsto r + \text{Ann}_a \mapsto rb$. By Proposition 5.1 in [15], since A is pseudo-injective, the isomorphism $Ra \rightarrow Rb \subseteq A$ extends to an automorphism of A taking a to b . $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *If ${}_R A$ is a pseudo-injective module, then the EP with respect to swc built on $\text{Aut}_R(A)$ is equivalent to the EP with respect to aw .*

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a principal ideal ring, ${}_R A$ a pseudo-injective module, and let C be a submodule of A^n for some n . Then a monomorphism $f : C \rightarrow A^n$ preserves Hamming weight if and only if it preserves swc built on $\text{Aut}_R(A)$.*

Proof. The “if” part is direct. For the converse, we’ll use that any left ideal I contains an element e_I that doesn’t belong to any other left ideal not containing I . Now, if

$$(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)f = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n), \quad (4.1)$$

choose, from $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$, a component with a maximal annihilator I . Act on equation (4.1) by e_I , then the only zero places are those of the components in equation (4.1) with annihilator I , and the preservation of Hamming weight gives the preservation of I -annihilated components. Omit these components from the list $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ and choose one with the new maximal, and repeat. This gives that f preserves aw and hence, by Lemma 4.2, f preserves swc built on $\text{Aut}_R(A)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *If ${}_R A$ is a module alphabet, then A has the extension property with respect to swc if and only if $\text{soc}(A)$ is cyclic.*

Proof. The “if” part is answered by Theorem 3.4. Now, if $\text{soc}(A)$ is not cyclic, then by Proposition 2.1, there is an index i such that $s_i > \mu_i$, where $s_i T_i \subset \text{soc}(A) \subset A$. Recall that T_i is the pullback to R of the matrix module $\mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i}) \mathbb{M}_{\mu_i \times 1}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$, so that $s_i T_i$ is the pullback to R of the $\mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ -module $B = \mathbb{M}_{\mu_i \times s_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$. Theorem 2.3 implies the existence of linear codes $C_+, C_- \subset B^N$, and an isomorphism $f : C_+ \rightarrow C_-$ that preserves Hamming weight, yet f does not extend to a monomial transformation of B^N . But the ring $\mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ is a principal ideal ring (in fact, more is true, Theorem ix.3.7, [10]), besides, B is injective, and then Theorem 4.4 implies that f preserves swc built on $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})}(B)$.

Now, a little notice finishes the work. The isomorphism in equation (2.1) and the projection mappings $R \rightarrow R/\text{rad}R \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\mu_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ allow us to consider the whole situation for $C_{\pm}$ as R -modules. Since B pulls back to $s_i T_i$, we have $C_{\pm} \subset (s_i T_i)^N \subset \text{soc}(A)^N \subset A^N$, as R -modules. Thus $C_{\pm}$ are linear codes over A that are isomorphic through an isomorphism preserving swc built on $\text{Aut}_R(s_i T_i)$. Also, any automorphism of A restricts to an automorphism of $s_i T_i$, hence the isomorphism preserves swc built on $\text{Aut}_R(A)$. However, this isomorphism does not extend to a monomial transformation of A^N , since, as appears in the proof of Theorem 2.3 (found in [14]), C_+ has an identically zero component, while C_- does not. $\square$

References

- [1] H. Q. Dinh and S. R. López-Permouth, *On the equivalence of codes over finite rings*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 15 (2004), no. 1, 37-50. MR MR2142429 (2006d:94097)
- [2] H. Q. Dinh and S. R. López-Permouth, *On the equivalence of codes over rings and modules*, Finite Fields Appl. 10 (2004), no. 4, 615-625. MR MR2094161 (2005g:94098).
- [3] T. Honold, *Characterization of finite Frobenius rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 76 (2001), no. 6, 406-415. MR MR1831096 (2002b:16033)
- [4] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. MR MR1653294 (99i:16001)
- [5] T. Y. Lam, *A First course in noncommutative rings*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR MR1838439 (2002c:16001)
- [6] F. J. MacWilliams, *Combinatorial properties of elementary abelian groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Radcliffe College, Cambridge, Mass., 1962.
- [7] M. Greferath, A. Nechaev, and R. Wisbauer, *Finite quasi-Frobenius modules and linear codes*, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004), no. 3, 247-272. MR MR2096449 (2005g:94099)
- [8] N. ElGarem, *Codes over Finite Modules*, Master Thesis - Cairo University, 2014.
- [9] N. ElGarem, N. Megahed, and J.A. Wood, *The extension Theorem with respect to Symmetrized Weight Compositions*, 4th international castle meeting on coding theory and applications, 2014.
- [10] Thomas W. Hungerford, *ALGEBRA*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [11] H. N. Ward and J. A. Wood, *Characters and the equivalence of codes*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 73 (1996), no. 2, 348-352. MR MR1370137 (96i:94028)
- [12] J. A. Wood, *Extension theorems for linear codes over finite rings*, Applied algebra, algebraic algorithms and error-correcting codes (Toulouse, 1997) (T. Mora and H. Mattson, eds.), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 1255, Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 329-340. MR MR1634126 (99h:94062)
- [13] J. A. Wood, *Duality for modules over finite rings and applications to coding theory*, Amer. J. Math. 121 (1999), no. 3, 555-575. MR MR1738408 (2001d:94033)
- [14] J. A. Wood, *Code equivalence characterizes finite Frobenius rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 699-706.
- [15] J. A. Wood, *Foundations of Linear Codes Defined over Finite Modules: The Extension Theorem and MacWilliams Identities*, Codes over Rings, Proceedings of the CIMPA Summer School, Ankara, Turkey, 18-29 August 2008, (Patrick Solé) Series on Coding Theory and Cryptology, Vol. 6, World Scientific, Singapore, 2009, p. 124-190.